

In vivo expansion of regulatory T cells by GITR triggering

L. Cari¹, P. Montanucci², G. Basta², E. Ricci¹, S. Ronchetti¹, G. Nocentini¹, R. Calafiore², C. Riccardi¹

¹Section of Pharmacology, Dept. of Medicine, University of Perugia, Italy

²Section of Cardiovascular, Endocrine and Metabolic Clinical Physiology, Dept. of Medicine, University of Perugia, Italy

Regulatory T cells (Tregs) are specialized cells that control immune responses to pathogens and mediate immunological self-tolerance and homeostasis.¹ *Glucocorticoid-induced TNFR-related* (GITR, also known as TNFRSF18) plays a crucial role in the maturation and expansion of Tregs.^{2,3,4} Indeed, functional studies demonstrated that GITR⁺-depleted T cells injected in nude mice caused death in 90% of them due to autoimmune disease⁵, whereas injection of CD25⁺-depleted T cells did not cause death.

Cells with suppressive activity express high levels of GITR in FoxP3 transgenic mice^{6,7} and GITR expression is observed independently of CD25, demonstrating that CD4⁺CD25⁻GITR⁺ cells (spGITR cells) exist and form a subset of peripheral Tregs (pTregs) that express molecules associated with regulatory activity, such as CTLA-4 and IL-10.⁶ GITR triggering costimulates Tregs, favouring their expansion. However, GITR triggering favors expansion/activation of CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ effector T cells too.

The aim of our in vivo study was to expand GITR⁺ Treg subsets with low doses of solely anti-mouse GITR antibody (an IgM produced by the rat hybridoma G3C), which has different properties from the most used anti-GITR Ab (DTA1)⁸, in long term treatment experimental conditions.

First we demonstrated by flow cytometry that in CD4⁺ T cells GITR expression is higher in the FoxP3⁺CTLA4⁺ double positive cell population (which is the sub-population with the higher regulatory activity) compared to that in FoxP3⁺ or CTLA4⁺ single positive cells. The lowest levels of GITR expression were found in FoxP3⁻CTLA4⁻ double negative cells, further suggesting that GITR expression correlates with a regulatory phenotype.

Then, we encapsulated the rat G3C hybridoma cells within the alginate-based microcapsule through an already described technique.⁹ By this method it is possible to implant microencapsulated syngenic or allogeneic live cells into the body without the rejection of the xenografts; the capsules are designed so that IgM produced by the hybridoma can be released.

We implanted microencapsulated G3C hybridoma cells (mecG3C) in the peritoneum of SV129 mice deleted of GITR (GITR^{-/-}) or wild type (WT). As a further control, empty microcapsules (mec) were implanted. After 3 weeks mice were sacrificed and studied. We observed that: 1) G3C-derived IgMs were detectable in the serum of the mecG3C-treated mice (as demonstrated by a home-made ELISA assay), demonstrating that G3C cells produce the anti-GITR IgMs and release them; 2) spGITR cell subset was expanded in the spleen and lymph nodes of mecG3C-treated WT mice as compared to mec-treated WT mice, but the expansion was not observed in mecG3C-treated GITR^{-/-} mice, demonstrating that anti-GITR IgMs expand spGITR subset by triggering GITR; 3) CD4⁺CD25⁺ cells were decreased in mecG3C-treated WT mice as compared to mec-treated WT mice, suggesting that spGITR expansion decreases the number of activated T cells; 4) CD8⁺ cells were decreased in mecG3C-treated WT mice as compared to mec-treated WT mice but not in mecG3C-treated GITR^{-/-} mice, suggesting that spGITR expansion decreases the number of cytotoxic T cells.

These results indicate that long term treatment with low doses of an anti-mouse GITR antibody can expand Treg subsets and these treatment may be useful to cure autoimmunity diseases.

1. Ronchetti, S. *et al. J. Immunol. Res.* **2015**, (2015)
2. McHugh, R. S. *et al. Immunity* **16**, 311–323 (2002)
3. Shimizu, J. *et al. Nat. Immunol.* **3**, 135–142 (2002)
4. Zelenika, D. *et al. J. Immunol.* **168**, 1069–1079 (2002)
5. Ono, M. *et al. J. Immunol.* **176**, 4748–4756 (2006)
6. Khattry, R. *et al. Nat. Immunol.* **4**, 337–342 (2003)
7. Tone, Y. *et al. J. Immunol.* **192**, 3915–24 (2014)
8. Nishioka, T. *et al. Immunol. Lett.* **121**, 97–104 (2008)
9. Luca, G. *et al. Transplantation* **90**, 1352–1357 (2010)